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#### Abstract

Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL are key apoptosis regulators and attractive cancer therapeutic targets. We have designed and optimized a class of small-molecule inhibitors of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and Bcl-xL containing a 4,5-diphenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid core structure. A $1.4 \AA$ resolution crystal structure of a lead compound, 12, complexed with $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ has provided a basis for our optimization. The most potent compounds, 14 and 15, bind to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ with subnanomolar $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values and are potent antagonists of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ in functional assays. Compounds 14 and 15 inhibit cell growth with low nanomolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in multiple small-cell lung cancer cell lines and  induce robust apoptosis in cancer cells at concentrations as low


 as 10 nM . Compound 14 also achieves strong antitumor activity in an animal model of human cancer.
## INTRODUCTION

Apoptosis resistance is a hallmark of human cancer, ${ }^{1-4}$ and targeting key antiapoptosis regulators with the goal of restoring apoptosis in cancer cells is a promising new therapeutic approach to cancer. ${ }^{5,6}$

The Bcl-2 proteins are key regulators of apoptosis and consist of both anti- and proapoptotic members. ${ }^{2,7}$ The antiapoptotic proteins include $\mathrm{Bcl}-2, \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}, \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Mcl}-1$, and A1, while the proapoptotic members consist of BID, BIM, BAD, BAK, BAX, and NOXA, among others. ${ }^{7}$ The balance and their interaction between the proapoptotic members and the antiapoptotic members within the Bcl-2 family of proteins control cell fate. ${ }^{2,7}$ Upon receipt of apoptotic stimuli, the proapoptotic proteins BAX and BAK disrupt the integrity of the outer mitochondrial membrane by forming oligomers in this membrane, and this leads to the release of the proapoptotic signaling proteins cytochrome $c$ and Smac and activation of downstream caspases. Overexpression of the antiapoptotic Bcl2 and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ proteins in cancer cells inhibits apoptosis by blocking activation of BAX and/or BAK and confers cancer cell resistance to a variety of chemotherapeutic agents. ${ }^{8,9}$ It has been proposed that small molecules that block the interaction of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ proteins with proapoptotic $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ proteins can antagonize the antiapoptotic function of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ proteins and overcome apoptosis resistance mediated by overexpression of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ in tumor cells. ${ }^{8,9}$ Design of potent, cellpermeable small-molecule $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ inhibitors has been the subject of intense efforts in the past decade. ${ }^{10}$ Compound 1 (ABT-737, Figure 1) and its analogue 2 (ABT-263, Figure 1) are probably the two most potent $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ inhibitors
reported to date. ${ }^{11-13}$ Both compounds bind to Bcl-2 and BclxL with high affinities and have a weak affinity for Mcl-1. Compound 2 has been advanced into phase I/II clinical trials for the treatment of human cancer. ${ }^{1,15}$ The recently synthesized quinazoline sulfonamide 3 (Figure 1) also shows high binding affinities to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ and a weak affinity to Mcl-1. ${ }^{16}$

We recently reported the design of a new class of Bcl-2/BclxL inhibitors, exemplified by compounds 4,5 , and 6 (Figure 1 ), with 6 being the most potent one among them. ${ }^{17}$ Although compound 6 binds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ with subnanomolar affinities ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}<1 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and potently inhibits cell growth in the H146 small-cell lung cancer cell line with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 60 nM , ${ }^{17}$ it fails to induce robust apoptosis in the H146 xenograft tumor tissues at its maximum tolerated dose (data not shown). In this paper, we report further structure-based design, synthesis, and evaluation of a set of new $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ inhibitors. The best new compound not only binds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ with subnanomolar affinities and effectively induces apoptosis in tumor cells but also achieves strong antitumor activity in an animal model of human cancer.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have previously determined the crystal structure of compound 7 complexed with Bcl-xL (Figure 2A) and used the crystal structure for the design of compounds 4,5 , and $6 .{ }^{17}$ Analysis of this crystal structure (Figure 2A) suggested that the
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Figure 1. Chemical structures of previously reported Bcl-2/Bcl-xL inhibitors.


Figure 2. (A) Cocrystal structure of 7 in complex with $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}(1.7 \AA$ Å). (B) Predicted binding model of 8 in complex with Bcl-xL.

3,4-diphenyl-1H-pyrrole in 7 can be changed to 4,5-diphenyl1 H -pyrrole for effective interaction with Bcl-xL. Because the dihydroxybutyl side chain in 7 lacks any specific interaction with the $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ protein in the crystal structure, it was truncated to a methyl group. The piperazine group was retained because it enhances the aqueous solubility of compounds containing it. These changes led to compound 8 (Figure 3). Compound 8 binds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ with $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of 38 and $88 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, 2 times more potent than 7 , and was used as the lead structure in subsequent design. Using the crystal structure
of 7 complexed with $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ as a starting point, we developed a model of compound 8 complexed with Bcl-xL (Figure 2B).

In our previous study, we designed compound 4 by tethering 7 with a portion of $\mathbf{1}$, which occupies two different binding pockets in Bcl-xL. ${ }^{17}$ Using a similar strategy, we have designed 9 by tethering 8 with the same portion of $\mathbf{1}$ used for the design of 4 . Compound 9 binds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ proteins, with $K_{i}$ values of 7 and 1.3 nM , respectively (Table 1). Our previous study ${ }^{17}$ further showed that removal of the carbonyl group in the linker region in 4 , which yielded 5 , improves binding affinities to both $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ and improves cellular activity. Thus, we synthesized 10 by removal of the corresponding carbonyl group in compound 9 . Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ binds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}<1 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Bcl-xL}\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=2.4 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and is more potent than compound 9 in binding to Bcl-2. Both compounds 9 and 10 also show a high specificity over Mcl-1, with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table 1).

We evaluated compounds $\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{9}$, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ for their activity in cell growth inhibition in three small-cell lung cancer cell lines (H146, H1417, and H1963), which have been shown to be sensitive to potent and specific $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ inhibitors such as compounds 1 and 2. Compound 10 inhibits cell growth in


7


8


9


10

Figure 3. Chemical structures of 7 and newly designed Bcl-2/Bcl-xL inhibitors.

Table 1. Binding Affinities of Designed and Reference Compounds to Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1 Proteins and Inhibition of Cell Growth in Three Small-Cell Lung Cancer Cell Lines

| compd | binding affinity |  |  |  |  | cell growth inhibition, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ |  | $\pm$ SD (nM) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bcl-2 |  | Bcl-xL |  | $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \mathrm{IC}_{50} \\ & \mathrm{Mcl}-1 \\ & \pm \mathrm{SD}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}} \pm \mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \pm \mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}} \pm \mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  | H146 | H1417 | H1963 |
| 6 | $4.1 \pm 0.7$ | $0.82 \pm 0.19$ | $7.5 \pm 1.3$ | <1 | >2 | $61 \pm 9$ | $90 \pm 3$ | nt |
| 7 | $213 \pm 16 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $78 \pm 6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $453 \pm 25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $138 \pm 8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | >100 | >10000 | >10000 | >10000 |
| 8 | $103 \pm 26 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $38 \pm 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $291 \pm 30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $88 \pm 9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | >100 | >10000 | >10000 | >10000 |
| 9 | $29 \pm 8$ | $7 \pm 2$ | $5 \pm 2$ | <1 | >100 | $>10000$ | >10000 | >10000 |
| 10 | $2 \pm 0.6$ | <0.6 | $9 \pm 2$ | <1 | >10 | $110 \pm 42$ | $258 \pm 43$ | $72 \pm 6$ |
| 1 | $2 \pm 0.2$ | <0.6 | $6 \pm 2$ | <1 | >1 | $37 \pm 29$ | $412 \pm 100$ | $59 \pm 15$ |
| 11 | $5 \pm 1$ | $1.1 \pm 0.2$ | $6 \pm 3$ | <1 | $>10$ | $36 \pm 26$ | $109 \pm 10$ | $30 \pm 12$ |
| 12 | $1.3 \pm 0.2$ | <0.6 | $6 \pm 1$ | <1 | >2 | $61 \pm 39$ | $93 \pm 27$ | $19 \pm 7$ |
| 13 | $99 \pm 5$ | $25 \pm 2$ | $11 \pm 6$ | $1.2 \pm 0.6$ | >10 | >10000 | $9229 \pm 793$ | $6475 \pm 5269$ |
| 14 | $2 \pm 1.6$ | $<0.6$ | $6.6 \pm 2.3$ | <1 | >2 | $8.1 \pm 3.5$ | $17.7 \pm 9.5$ | $4.5 \pm 2.2$ |
| 15 | $1.4 \pm 0.5$ | <0.6 | $4.8 \pm 0.1$ | <1 | >2 | $3.0 \pm 2.4$ | $3.4 \pm 1.1$ | $1.9 \pm 0.9$ |
| 16 | $17.6 \pm 2.2$ | $4.3 \pm 0.6$ | $7.7 \pm 0.9$ | <1 | >2 | $69 \pm 21$ | $154 \pm 19$ | $60 \pm 5$ |
| BIM | <1 | <0.6 | <1 | <1 | $5 \pm 1 \mathrm{nM}$ | nt | nt | nt |
| BAD | $40 \pm 8$ | $10 \pm 2$ | $5 \pm 0.3$ | <1 | $32 \pm 2$ | nt | nt | nt |
| NOXA | $16.7 \pm 0.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $3.6 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $11.0 \pm 1.7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $3.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $37 \pm 3 \mathrm{nM}$ | nt | nt | nt |

these three small-cell lung cancer lines with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 110 , 258 , and 72 nM , respectively (Table 1). In comparison to $\mathbf{1 0}$, compound 9 is much less effective against these three cell lines ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Consistent with its weak binding affinities to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$, compound 8 has minimal cellular activity (Table 1). Compound $\mathbf{1}$ has $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 37,412 , and 59 nM , respectively, in these three cancer cell lines (Table 1).

To provide direct evidence that compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ antagonizes $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ but not $\mathrm{Mcl}-1$, we have established cell-free functional assays using purified mitochondria, recombinant Bcl-2/Bcl-xL/Mcl-1 proteins, and the BIM BH3 peptide, which binds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2, \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$, and Mcl-1 with very high affinities (Table 1). We employed these cell-free functional assays to determine the functional antagonism of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 10 and also of the BAD and the NOXA BH3 peptides.

At 20 nM , the BIM BH3 peptide induces substantial release of cytochrome $c$ and Smac proteins from mitochondria, and Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, or Mcl-1, each at 60 nM , efficiently inhibits this release (Figure 4). In the Bcl-2 functional assay (Figure 4A), compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ dose-dependently antagonize $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and restore BIM-induced release of cytochrome $c$ and Smac proteins from mitochondria, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ is 3 times less potent than $\mathbf{1}$. The BAD BH3 peptide is capable of doing so in a dosedependent manner, but the NOXA BH3 peptide fails to restore the release of cytochrome $c$ and Smac. In the Bcl-xL functional assay (Figure 4B), compounds 1 and 10 antagonize $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ and restore the release of cytochrome $c$ and Smac, but the NOXA BH3 peptide is unable to do so. Compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 10 are equally effective in antagonizing Bcl-xL, but both compounds are less potent than the BAD BH 3 peptide. In the $\mathrm{Mcl}-1$ functional assay (Figure 4C), while the NOXA peptide antagonizes Mcl-1 and restores the release of cytochrome $c$ and Smac induced by the BIM peptide in a dose-dependent manner, the BAD peptide, $\mathbf{1}$, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ at concentrations as high as $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ fail to do so. These data show that $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 0}$, and the BAD peptide all function as potent antagonists of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and Bcl-xL proteins, but all fail to antagonize Mcl-1. On the other hand, while the NOXA peptide efficiently antagonizes Mcl-1, it fails to do so with both $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ proteins. These
functional data are consistent with their binding profiles to these Bcl-2 proteins (Table 1).

We next embarked on modifications of $\mathbf{1 0}$ in order to further improve its binding affinities to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ and its cellular activity against cancer cells. The results are summarized in Figure 5 and Table 1.

The 1-methyl-4-propylpiperazinyl side chain on the pyrrole ring of 10 was intended to enhance the aqueous solubility of the compound. This side chain was modified to examine its influence on binding and cellular activity, which led to the amide analogue 11 and the carboxylic acid analogue 12. These bind to Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL with very high binding affinities (Table 1), exceeding the lower limits of the assays except that compound 11 binds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of 1.1 nM . Compound 13, in which the carboxyl group has been removed from the pyrrole ring in 12, binds to Bcl-2 100 times less potently than 12, but it is only slightly less potent than 12 in binding to $\mathrm{Bcl}-$ xL . These data indicate that the carboxyl group plays a critical role with respect to the binding to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ but makes only a modest contribution to $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ binding.

Compounds 11 and 12 have similar potencies in the cell growth inhibition assay against the H146, H1417, and H1963 cancer lines and are 2-4 times more potent than compound 10. Consistent with its low binding affinity to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$, compound 13 shows very weak activities ( $>5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in these three cancer cell lines.

To provide a solid structural basis for subsequent modifications, we have attempted to determine the crystal structures for these $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ inhibitors (10, 11, and 12) complexed with Bcl-2 and/or Bcl-xL. We determined a crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 2}$ complexed with $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ at a resolution of $1.4 \AA$ (Figure 6 and Table S1 in Supporting Information). This crystal structure shows that the diphenylpyrrole core structure of $\mathbf{1 2}$ binds in the same pocket and with the same orientation as that of 7 . Adjacent to the $N$-methyl group on the pyrrole ring, there is an unoccupied hydrophobic pocket lined by L108, V126, F146, L112, and L150 that can be exploited for further optimization. In addition to the diphenylpyrrole core structure, the thiophenyl ring of 12 has extensive hydrophobic interactions with the protein. This thiophenyl ring is also
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Figure 4. Functional antagonism against $\mathrm{Bcl}-2(\mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}(\mathrm{B})$, and $\mathrm{Mcl}-1(\mathrm{C})$ proteins by 10, 1, BAD, and NOXA BH3 peptides in cell-free system. While 1, 10, and BAD can effectively antagonize $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ to restore the release of cytochrome $c$ (Cyt $c$ ) and Smac proteins from mitochondria induced by the BIM BH3 peptide, NOXA fails to do so. Conversely, while $\mathbf{1 0}$ and BAD fail to antagonize Mcl-1 to restore the release of Cyt c and Smac proteins from mitochondria induced by the BIM BH3 peptide, NOXA can effectively do so. COX IV was used as the loading control in (B).
involved in $\pi$-stacking with the nitrophenyl group in 12, which presumably stabilizes the bound conformation of $\mathbf{1 2}$. The dimethylamino group forms two hydrogen bonds with the carboxyl group of E96, but the nitro group on the phenyl ring fails to fill adequately the pocket in Bcl-xL lined by Y195, F191, W197, V141, and A141, suggesting the possibility of additional optimization at this site to further improve binding affinities and cellular activities. The carboxylic acid in $\mathbf{1 2}$ forms a direct hydrogen bond with R132 and an indirect hydrogen bond with R139 in Bcl-xL, mediated by a water molecule.

On the basis of this crystal structure, the N -methyl group on the pyrrole ring binds in a hydrophobic pocket lined by L108, V126, F146, L112, and L150, but there is additional room
available to accommodate a larger hydrophobic group. We therefore designed and synthesized three new analogues (compounds 14, 15, and 16) with an $N$-ethyl, $N$-isopropyl, or $N$-cyclopropyl group (Figure 5). Compounds 14 and 15 bind to both Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL with very high affinities ( $K_{\mathrm{i}}<$ $1 \mathrm{nM})$, exceeding the lower limits of the assays. While compound 16 binds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ with 10 times lower affinity than 12, they both have affinities similar to that of Bcl-xL.

Consistent with their high binding affinities to both $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and Bcl-xL, both compounds 14 and 15 are very potent in cell growth inhibition in the H146, H1417, and H1963 cancer cell lines. While compound 14 has $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 8.1, 17.7, and 4.5 nM , respectively, compound 15 achieves $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $3.0,3.4$,
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Figure 5. Chemical structures of new analogues of $\mathbf{1 0}$.


Figure 6. Crystal structure of $\mathbf{1 2}$ complexed with $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ at $1.4 \AA$ resolution: compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ in cyan; key residues interacting in $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ in gray; oxygens in red; nitrogens in blue; sulfur in yellow; chlorine in green.
and 1.9 nM (Table 1 and Figure 7A). Compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ has much weaker cell growth inhibitory activity than compounds 14 and 15 against these three cancer cell lines, which is consistent with its weaker binding affinity to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ than 14 and 15. In direct comparison, compound 15 is $10-100$ times more potent than compound 1 against these three cancer cell lines in the cell growth inhibition assay, representing arguably the most potent $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ inhibitor reported to date.

We next evaluated the ability of compounds $\mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5}$, and $\mathbf{1}$ to induce cell death in the H146 cell line (Figure 7B). These four compounds all effectively induce cell death in H146 in a
dose-dependent manner. While compounds 12 and $\mathbf{1}$ have similar potencies relative to each other, 14 and 15 are more potent than both $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ and, even at 10 nM , induce robust cell death in the H146 cell line. Western blotting analysis further showed that while all four of these compounds induce cleavage of caspase-3 and poly ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 7C), compound 15 induces robust cleavage of caspase-3 and PARP at concentrations as low as 10 nM and is more potent than compounds 12 and 1.

To further probe their cellular mechanism of action, we analyzed the release of cytochrome $c$ from mitochondria, which is an early event in apoptosis induction. Treatment of H146 cells with compounds $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ for as short as 2 h induces a release of cytochrome $c$ in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 8). This release of cytochrome $c$ is accompanied by cleavage of PARP, also in a dose-dependent manner. Release of cytochrome $c$ and cleavage of PARP are robust at 30 nM for both 14 and 15 .

We evaluated the toxicity of compounds 14 and 15 in severe combined immune deficient (SCID) mice and found that 15 is more toxic than 14. While compound 14 at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, daily intravenous dosing, and 5 days a week for 2 weeks is well tolerated in SCID mice, $\mathbf{1 5}$ at the same dose and schedule is toxic to the animals that had severe weight loss. We therefore focused our subsequent in vivo evaluations on 14.

We tested compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ for induction of apoptosis in the H146 xenograft tissues in SCID mice (Figure 9). A single intravenous dose of 14 at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ effectively induced cleavage of PARP and caspase-3 in the H146 tumor tissues as early as 3 h and lasted for at least 24 h .

Compound 14 was next evaluated for its antitumor activity in inhibition of tumor growth in the H146 xenograft model. It was administered at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ daily iv 5 days a week for 2 weeks. Compound 14 was very effective in inhibition of tumor growth (Figure 10), consistent with our in vivo pharmacodynamics


Figure 7. (A) Representative cell growth inhibition of 12, 14, 15, and 1 in three small-cell lung cancer cell lines. (B) Cell death induction by 12, 14, 15, and 1 in H146 cell line. Cells were treated for 24 h , and cell death was analyzed by trypan blue assay. (C) Induction of cleavage of PARP and caspase- 3 in H146 cell line by 12, 14, 15, and $\mathbf{1}$. Cells were treated for 24 h , and caspase- 3 (Cas 3 ) and PARP were probed by Western blotting: Cl PARP, cleaved PARP; Cl Cas 3, cleaved caspase-3. GAPDH was used as the loading control.


Figure 8. Analysis of cytochrome $c$ (Cyto c) release from mitochondria into cytosol and cleavage of PARP (Cl PARP) induced by 14 and 15 in H146 cells in 2 h . GAPDH was used as the loading control.
data (Figure 9). In fact, compound 14 was capable of achieving tumor regression during the treatment phase. The strong


Figure 10. Antitumor activity of compound 14 in H146 xenograft model. H146 tumor cells were injected subcutaneously into SCID mice, and treatments started when tumors reached a mean volume of $70 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. Each group consisted of eight mice/tumors.


Figure 9. Analysis of induction of cleavage of PARP and caspase-3 in the H146 xenograft tumor tissues by 14 ( $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, iv). Mice bearing H146 xenograft tumors were dosed with either 14 or vehicle. Animals were sacrificed at 3,6 , and 24 h time points, and tumor tissues were analyzed by Western blot for cleavage of PARP (Cl PARP) and caspase-3 ( Cl caspase-3). GAPDH was used as the loading control.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Compound $8^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) piperidine, AcOH , toluene; (ii) 4-chlorobenzaldehyde, 3-ethyl-5-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methylthiazol-3-ium bromide, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{MeNH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, then HCl , rt; (c) (i) NaOH , dioxane, $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux; (ii) 3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propan-1-amine, EDCI, HOBt, DIEA, DCM.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Compounds 12, 14, 15, and $16^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) piperidine, AcOH, toluene; (ii) 4-chlorobenzaldehyde, 3-ethyl-5-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methylthiazol-3-ium bromide, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (c) NaOH , dioxane, $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux; (d) 1-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazine, CuI, L-proline, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight; (e) (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd/C; (ii) 4-fluoro-3-nitrobenzene-1-sulfonyl chloride, pyridine; (f) (R)-/ $N^{1}, N^{1}$-dimethyl-4-(phenylthio)butane-1,3-diamine, DIPEA, DMF.
antitumor activity of $\mathbf{1 4}$ is persistent. At day 92,2 months after the treatment ended, the tumors treated with 14 had a mean volume of $200 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ whereas the vehicle-treated tumors had grown to a mean volume of $800 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. Mice treated with 14 had a maximum weight loss of $<10 \%$ during the treatment and animals quickly gained weight after the treatment (Figure S1 in Supporting Information). There were no other signs of toxicity observed with 14. Hence, compound 14 has a strong antitumor activity at a well-tolerated dose schedule.

## SYNTHESIS

The synthesis of compound 8 is shown in Scheme 1. Condensation of ethyl acetoacetate with benzaldehyde afforded ethyl 2-benzylidene-3-oxobutanoate. A Stetter reaction of this compound with 4-chlorobenzaldehyde gave 17, and the pyrrole 18 was obtained by Paal-Knorr cyclization of 17 with methylamine. ${ }^{18}$ Compound 8 was prepared by hydrolysis of 18, followed by coupling to 1-(3-aminopropyl)-4-methylpiperazine.

Scheme 2 shows the general method for the synthesis of compounds 12, 14, 15, and 16 with different substituents on

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Compound $9^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propan-1-amine, EDCI, HOBt, DIEA, DCM; (b) Pd(dba) 2 , tri-tert-butylphosphine, sodium tert-butoxide, DMF, toluene.
the nitrogen of the pyrrole ring. Compound 19 was prepared in the same way as 17 . Paal-Knorr cyclization of 19 with different amines afforded the pyrroles $\mathbf{2 0 a}-\mathrm{d}$ which were hydrolyzed to yield the acids 21a-d. An Ullmann-type $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation reaction ${ }^{19}$ was employed to prepare intermediates 22a-d from 21a-d. Hydrogenation of the nitro group in 22a-d gave the anilines, treatment of which with 4-fluoro-3-nitrobenzene-1sulfonyl chloride in pyridine gave 23a-d. Displacement of the fluoro group in 23a-d with $(R)-N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-dimethyl-4-(phenylthio)-butane-1,3-diamine produced compounds $12,14,15$, and 16.

Compound 9 was synthesized as shown in Scheme 3. Coupling 21a to 1-(3-aminopropyl)-4-methylpiperazine afforded 24, and intermediate 25 was prepared as described. ${ }^{20}$ In the presence of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}$ and tri-tert-butylphosphine, Buchwald-Hartwig reaction ${ }^{21}$ between 24 and 25 produced compound 9.

Syntheses of compounds 10, 11, and 13 are outlined in Scheme 4 . Compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ were produced by coupling

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Compounds 10, 11, and $13^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propan-1amine, EDCl, HOBt, DIEA, DCM; (b) methylamine, EDCl, HOBt, DIEA, DCM; (c) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
compound 12 to the corresponding amines using EDCI and HOBt. Treatment of compound 12 with TFA afforded the decarboxylated compound 13.

## SUMMARY

Using a structure-based design strategy, we have designed and synthesized new and potent Bcl-2/Bcl-xL inhibitors. The most potent compounds, 14 and 15, bind to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ and $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ with subnanomolar affinities and inhibit cell growth in three lung cancer cell lines with low nanomolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. Compounds 14 and 15 induce robust apoptosis in H146 cancer cells at concentrations as low as 10 nM . Furthermore, compound 14 induces robust apoptosis in vivo in the H146 tumor tissues and
strongly inhibits tumor growth and achieves tumor regression during the treatment in the H146 xenograft model in mice at a well-tolerated dose schedule. Determination of the $1.4 \AA$ resolution crystal structure of a potent analogue, $\mathbf{1 2}$ complexed with Bcl-xL, provides a structural basis for its high-affinity binding to $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ and a solid foundation for our optimization effort. Further optimization of this class of compounds may yield highly potent $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ inhibitors with optimized pharmacological properties for the treatment of human cancer.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere in dry solvents under anhydrous conditions. Unless otherwise noted, reagents were used as supplied without further purification. NMR spectra were acquired at a proton frequency of 300 MHz , and chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) relative to an internal standard. The final products were purified by a C18 reverse phase semipreparative HPLC column with solvent $\mathrm{A}(0.1 \%$ of TFA in water) and solvent $\mathrm{B}(0.1 \%$ of TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) as eluents. The purity was determined by Waters ACQUITY UPLC, and all the biologically evaluated compounds were $>95 \%$ pure (Table S2 and Figures S2-10).

Ethyl 5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-4-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (18). Ethyl acetoacetate ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzaldehyde ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and acetic acid $(128 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were dissolved in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and refluxed with azeotropic removal of water overnight. After the solution was cooled, it was diluted with EtOAc, washed with 1.0 M HCl , saturated sodium bicarbonate, brine, and dried over sodium sulfate. Removal of the solvent under vacuum gave a crude ethyl 2-benzylidene-3-oxobutanoate, which was used directly in the following step without further purification. To a solution of this compound, 4-chlorobenzaldehyde $(1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triethylamine $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 3-ethyl-5-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methylthiazolium bromide ( $0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred and heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with 1 M HCl , saturated sodium bicarbonate, and brine, and then dried over sodium sulfate. The EtOAc was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (1:5 ethyl acetate/ hexane) to provide 17, which was treated with methylamine in MeOH $(2 \mathrm{M}, 8 \mathrm{~mL})$ overnight. The reaction mixture was treated with 2 M $\mathrm{HCl}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 20 min and extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $1: 4$ ethyl acetate/hexane) to give 18 $(2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ over three steps $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta 7.25$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ), $\delta 165.8,136.2,135.8,133.4,132.4,130.8,130.3$, 130.2, 128.4, 127.2, 125.9, 124.1, 111.3, 59.2, 31.8, 13.9, 11.8. ESI MS: $m / z 376.8(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-N-(3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propyl)-4-phenyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (8). To a solution of ester $18(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of dioxane/ethanol/
water ( $1: 1: 1,12 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the solution was refluxed until starting material could no longer be observed by TLC. After cooling, the mixture was neutralized with 1 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated under vacuum to provide 5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-4-phenyl-1 H -pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid, which was used directly in the following step without further purification. A solution of this acid, 1-(3-aminopropyl)-4methylpiperazine ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI ( $0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt ( $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine $(0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 8 h and then concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC to afford $8(0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right), \delta 7.21-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.49-3.45 (m, 4H), 3.39-3.35 (m, 4H), $3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.73(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD} 3 \mathrm{OD}$ ), $\delta 170.5,136.6,134.7,133.7$, 133.5, 131.9, 131.7, 131.2, 129.5, 129.2, 127.6, 122.7, 116.1, 55.3, 51.6, 43.4, 37.1, 32.0, 25.3, 11.4. ESI MS: $m / z 465.8(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Ethyl 5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-iodophenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (20a). Ethyl acetoacetate ( $6.1 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-iodobenzaldehyde ( $10.8 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), piperidine ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), and acetic acid $(600 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ were dissolved in toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and refluxed overnight with azeotropic removal of water. After the solution was cooled, it was diluted with EtOAc , washed with 1.0 M HCl , saturated sodium bicarbonate, brine, and dried over sodium sulfate. Removal of the solvent under vacuum gave a crude ethyl 2-(3-iodobenzylidene)-3oxobutanoate, which was used directly in the following step without further purification. To a solution of this, ethyl 2-(3-iodobenzylidene)-3-oxobutanoate, 4-chlorobenzaldehyde ( $6.6 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triethylamine ( 4.6 mL ) was added 3-ethyl-5-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4methylthiazolium bromide ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 7.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred and heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with 1 M HCl , saturated sodium bicarbonate, brine, and dried over sodium sulfate. The EtOAc was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (1:5 ethyl acetate/hexane) to give 19, which was treated with a solution of methylamine in MeOH $(2 \mathrm{M}, 35 \mathrm{~mL})$ overnight. Then the reaction mixture was treated with 2 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 20 min and extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (1:4 ethyl acetate/hexane) to afford 20a ( $15.8 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right), 7.54$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{t}, 7.1,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ), $\delta 165.5,139.9$, 138.2, 136.7, 134.8, 133.8, 132.4, 130.4, 129.8, 128.9, 128.6, 122.3, 111.1, 93.0, 59.4, 31.8, 14.0, 11.8. ESI MS: $m / z 480.3$ (M + H) ${ }^{+}$

Ethyl 5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-4-(3-iodophenyl)-2-meth-yl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (20b). Intermediate 20b was prepared from 19 in $86 \%$ yield by a procedure similar to that used for 20 a . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ), $\delta 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right), \delta 165.6,139.9,138.1$, 135.7, 134.6, 134.0, 132.6, 130.2, 129.9, 129.8, 128.9, 128.6, 122.6, 111.2, 93.0, 59.3, 39.1, 16.1, 14.1, 11.5. ESI MS: $m / z 494.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Ethyl 5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-iodophenyl)-1-isopropyl-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (20c). Ethyl 2-acetyl-4-(4-chlor-ophenyl)-3-(3-iodophenyl)-4-oxobutanoate 19 ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to isopropylamine ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetic acid $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h in a sealed tube. Water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to provide $20 \mathrm{c}(3.8 \mathrm{~g}$, $72 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right), \delta 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0,6 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right), \delta 165.7,139.8,138.4,135.5,134.5,134.0,133.0,131.0,130.4$, 129.6, 128.8, 128.5, 122.5, 112.2, 93.0, 59.3, 48.7, 22.3, 14.0, 13.1. ESI MS: $m / z 508.4(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

Ethyl 5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-cyclopropyl-4-(3-iodophenyl)-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (20d). Intermediate 20d was prepared from 19 by a similar procedure as that for 20a in $84 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ) $\delta 7.53-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, 7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{t}, 7.1,3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.92-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.58-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ) $\delta 165.4,140.0,139.2,138.3,134.8,133.0,131.9,131.1,130.6,129.9$, 129.0, 128.1, 121.9, 111.4, 93.0, 59.3, 27.2, 14.0, 12.8, 9.6. ESI MS: $m /$ $z 506.6(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-iodophenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-1H-pyr-role-3-carboxylic Acid (21a). Sodium hydroxide ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 104 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the ester 20a ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 20.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of dioxane/ethanol/water ( $1: 1: 1,150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the solution was refluxed until no starting material was observed by TLC. After cooling, the mixture was neutralized with 1 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo to provide 21 a ( $9.4 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ), $7.50-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ), $\delta 170.1,139.7,138.3,137.5,135.0$, 133.9, 132.4, 131.0, 130.1, 129.7, 129.0, 128.6, 122.8, 109.7, 93.2, 32.0, 12.2. ESI MS: $m / z 452.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-4-(3-iodophenyl)-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (21b). Intermediate 21b was prepared from 20b in $97 \%$ yield using a similar procedure as that for 21a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 7.51-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ), $\delta 169.9$, 139.6, 137.4, 137.3, 134.9, 134.1, 132.6, 130.5, 130.0, 129.0, 128.6, 123.1, 109.9, 93.2, 39.2, 16.0, 11.9. ESI MS: $m / z 466.3$ (M+H) ${ }^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-iodophenyl)-1-isopropyl-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (21c). Intermediate 21c was prepared from 20 c in $98 \%$ yield using a similar procedure as that for 21a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ) $\delta 7.46-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-$ $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1,6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ) $\delta$ 169.0, 139.6, 137.7, 137.1, 134.8, 134.1, 133.0, 131.0, 130.7, 129.9, 128.9, 128.4, 123.0, 110.6, 93.1, 48.8, 13.4. ESI MS: $m / z 502.5$ (M + $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-cyclopropyl-4-(3-iodophenyl)-2-meth-yl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (21d). Intermediate 21d was prepared in $98 \%$ yield from 20 d by a similar procedure as that for 21a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 7.52-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.59-0.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 169.4,140.8,139.8,137.5,135.1,133.1,131.9$, 131.7, 130.4, 130.1, 129.1, 128.2, 122.4, 109.9, 93.2, 27.3, 13.1, 9.6.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-4-(3-(4-(4-nitrophenyl)-piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (22a). 21a ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazine ( $2.84 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{CuI}(131 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$, L-proline ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(1.89 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in DMSO $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. This mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight under nitrogen. After the solution was cooled, saturated ammonium chloride solution was added and the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography on silica gel (1:1 ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded 22a ( $2.48 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$ (br, 4H), $3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18$ (br, 4 H ), $2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 170.0,154.6,138.6,135.8,133.7,132.5,130.9,130.1$, 128.6, 128.3, 126.0, 124.2, 120.2, 114.7, 112.7, 109.6, 49.3, 46.6, 32.0, 12.2. ESI MS: $m / z 531.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-2-methyl-4-(3-(4-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic

Acid (22b). Intermediate 22b was prepared in 70\% yield from 21b using a similar procedure as that for 22a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right)$ $\delta 8.13$ (d. $J=9.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{q}, J=$ 7.1, 2H), 3.46-3.45 (m, 4H), 3.17-3.15 (m, 4H), $2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 170.8,154.8,149.4$, 138.4, 137.1, 135.7, 133.8, 132.7, 130.6, 130.3, 128.6, 128.1, 126.0, 124.7, 123.2, 119.9, 114.2, 112.6, 109.9, 48.9, 46.7, 39.2, 16.0, 12.0. ESI MS: $m / z 545.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-isopropyl-2-methyl-4-(3-(4-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (22c). Intermediate 22c was prepared from 21c in $73 \%$ yield by a similar procedure as that for compound 22a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 11.8(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6,2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-$ $6.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.73$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0,6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ), $\delta 170.9$, 154.8, 149.4, 138.4, 137.0, 136.0, 133.8, 133.1, 131.4, 130.8, 128.4, 128.0, 126.0, 124.6, 123.2, 119.8, 114.1, 112.6, 110.9, 48.9, 48.8, 46.7, 22.3, 13.5. ESI MS: $m / z 559.6(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-cyclopropyl-2-methyl-4-(3-(4-(4-nitrophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (22d). Intermediate 22d was prepared from 21 d in $76 \%$ yield by a similar procedure as that for compound 22a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.48-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.56-0.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 170.2,154.8$, 149.6, 140.6, 138.4, 135.8, 132.9, 132.0, 131.5, 131.0, 128.2, 128.1, $125.9,123.9,123.3,120.0,114.4,112.6,110.1,48.9,46.7,27.3,13.2$, 9.7. ESI MS: $m / z 557.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1,2-di-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (23a). To a solution of compound 22a $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(120 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was stirred under 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at room temperature for 0.5 h before filtering through Celite and being concentrated. The resulting aniline was used in the next step without purification. To this aniline in pyridine ( 20 mL ), 4-fluoro-3-nitrobenzene-1-sulfonyl chloride ( 0.54 g , 2.3 mmol ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The pyridine was removed under vacuum and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (3:2 ethyl acetate/ hexane) to give 23 a ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ in two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 8.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,6.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.6,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.80$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-$ $3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}=\right.$ 5:1) $\delta 167.7,149.8,149.5,137.0,136.7,136.6,135.9,134.2,134.1$, $133.3,132.3,130.4,130.3,128.3,127.9,127.5,125.6,124.6,124.1$, 123.3, 119.7, 119.3, 119.0, 116.7, 114.5, 110.2, 49.7, 48.1, 31.7, 11.8. ESI MS: $m / z 704.6(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-ethyl-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (23b). Intermediate 23b was prepared from 22 b in $67 \%$ yield in two steps using a similar procedure as that for $23 \mathrm{a} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 8.44$ (dd, $J$ $=2.2,6.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta$ 169.8, 150.1, 149.9, 137.14, 137.07, 136.4, 135.7, 134.4, 134.3, 133.8, 132.7, 130.6, 130.3, 128.5, 128.1, 126.5, 125.9, 125.5, 124.7, 123.1, 119.8, 119.6, 119.3, 116.6, 114.4, 109.8, 49.3, 48.7, 39.2, 16.0, 12.0. ESI MS: $m / z 740.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-fluoro-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1-iso-propyl-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (23c). Intermediate 23 c was prepared in $71 \%$ yield in two steps using a similar procedure as that for 23a. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 8.45(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=2.1,6.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.23(\mathrm{~m}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.71-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0,6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ) $\delta 169.7$, 150.1, 149.9, 137.2, 137.0, 136.9, 136.5, 136.4, 135.9, 134.4, 134.3, 133.8, 133.1, 131.3, 130.8, 128.3, 128.1, 126.5, 125.9, 125.5, 124.6, 123.1, 119.6, 119.3, 116.6, 114.4, 110.8, 49.3, 48.8, 48.7, 22.2, 13.5. ESI MS: $m / z 754.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
(R)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-((4-(dimethylamino)-1-(phenylthio)butan-2-yl)amino)-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)-phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (12). DIEA ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 23a ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $R$ )- $N^{1}, N^{1}$-dimethyl-4-phenylthio)-butane-1,3-diamine ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF. The solution was stirred overnight and concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC to afford 12 ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.30(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,9.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-$ $7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.36-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.26-2.10 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ), $\delta$ 169.1, 148.5, 148.0, 146.9, 139.0, 138.4, 136.2, 134.8, 134.4, 134.0, 132.3, 132.2, 132.1, 131.9, 131.6, 130.1, 129.6, 129.5, 129.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 124.8, 124.3, 122.9, 118.9, 117.2, 116.2, 111.5, 55.9, 53.2, 52.4, 50.1, 43.5, 39.5, 32.2, 30.1, 12.1. ESI MS: $m / z 908.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
(R)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-((4-(dimethylamino)-1-(phenylthio)butan-2-yl)amino)-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)-phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1-ethyl-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3carboxylic Acid (14). Compound 14 was prepared from 23b in $81 \%$ yield using a similar procedure as that for compound $12 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,9.1$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 169.1,148.1,148.0,145.9,139.1,137.4,136.2$, 135.1, 134.4, 134.3, 132.6, 132.1, 132.0, 131.7, 131.6, 130.1, 129.64, 129.58, 128.0, 127.9, 127.4, 125.1, 124.1, 123.1, 119.0, 117.5, 116.4, 111.7, 55.9, 53.6, 52.4, 50.0, 43.5, 40.2, 39.5, 30.1, 16.2, 12.0. ESI MS: $m / z 922.6(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(R)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-((4-(dimethylamino)-1-(phenylthio)butan-2-yl)amino)-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)-phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1-isopropyl-2-methyl-1H-pyr-role-3-carboxylic Acid (15). Compound 15 was prepared from 22c in $79 \%$ yield using a similar procedure as that for $12 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,9.2,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.26-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-$ $3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-$ $2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1,6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ 169.2, 148.2, 148.0, 145.8, 139.4, 137.1, 136.2, 135.2, 134.8, 134.4, 132.9, 132.6, 132.2, 132.1, 131.6, 130.1, 129.9, 129.6, 129.4, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 125.1. 124.2, 122.9, 119.0, 117.5, 116.2, 112.8, 55.9, 53.8, $52.4,50.3,50.0,43.5,39.5,30.1,22.5,13.5$. ESI MS: $m / z 936.8$ (M+ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(R)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-cyclopropyl-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-((4-(dime-thylamino)-1-(phenylthio)butan-2-yl)amino)-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-2-methyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic Acid (16). Compound 16 was prepared from 22 d in $78 \%$ yield by a similar procedure as that for compound 12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,9.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.24-2.15 (m, 2H), 0.89-0.82 (m, 2H), 0.50-0.44 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 169.0,148.5,147.9,140.8,139.0,136.2$, 134.4, 134.1, 133.6, 132.8, 132.7, 132.3, 131.6, 130.1, 129.6, 129.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 124.5, 124.3, 122.9, 118.9, 117.3, 116.2, 112.0, 55.9, 53.2, 52.4, 50.1, 43.5, 39.6, 30.1, 28.3, 13.2, 10.3. ESI MS: $m / z$ $935.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-iodophenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-N-(3-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)propyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (24). A solution of 21a $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1-(3-aminopropyl)-4methylpiperazine $(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, EDCI ( $0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt $(0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine $(0.77 \mathrm{~mL}$, $4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 8 h and then
concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (2:1 ethyl acetate/methanol) to afford $24(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}$ ), $\delta 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (d, d, $J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(75$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{D}\right) \delta 165.7,139.3,137.1,135.4,133.7,133.4,132.2,129.9$, 129.8, 129.6, 128.6, 119.0, 115.1, 94.1, 55.9, 55.0, 53.0, 46.0, 37.9, 31.6, 26.3, 11.3. ESI MS: $m / z 591.7(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(R)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-(4-(((4-((4-(dimethylamino)-1-(phenylthio)butan-2-yl)amino)phenyl)sulfonyl)carbamoyl)-phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-N-(3-(4-methylpi-perazin-1-yl)propyl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (9). $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}$ $(3.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri-tert-butylphosphine in toluene $(1 \mathrm{M}, 4.8$ $\mu \mathrm{L})$, and sodium tert-butoxide ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a stirred slurry of $24(71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $25(88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of toluene/DMF $(1: 1,4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature under nitrogen. The mixture was heated to $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and monitored by thinlayer chromatography. After complete consumption of starting materials, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and concentrated. Purification of the residue by HPLC yielded $9(37 \mathrm{mg}$, $29 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83$ (dd, $J=2.3,9.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=8.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-$ $6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $16 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ $170.3,167.1,155.5,148.5,137.8,136.2,135.4,134.8,133.9,133.4$, 132.1, 132.0, 131.5, 131.3, 130.4, 130.2, 130.1, 129.8, 129.6, 127.9, 127.3, 122.5, 121.9, 120.7, 119.8, 116.7, 116.2, 116.0, 115.055.9, 55.4, 52.5, 52.3, 51.7, 47.6, 43.6, 43.5, 39.3, 37.3, 32.1, 30.1, 25.4, 11.5. ESI MS: $m / z 1076.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
(R)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-((4-(dimethylamino)-1-(phenylthio)butan-2-yl)amino)-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)-phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-1,2-dimethyl-N-(3-(4-methylpi-perazin-1-yl)propyl)-1 H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (10). A mixture of $12(88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1-(3-aminopropyl)-4-methylpiperazine $(22.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol})$, EDCI $(27.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol})$, HOBt $(18.7$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{~mol})$, and DIEA ( $52 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 8 h and concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC to provide 10 ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 8.29$ (d, J $=2.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1,9.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-6.89$ $(\mathrm{m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta$ $170.3,150.3,148.5,148.0,137.7,136.2,134.8,134.4,133.8,133.3$, 132.5, 132.2, 132.1, 131.6, 131.2, 130.2, 130.1, 129.6, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 125.1, 124.2, 122.6, 120.6, 118.9, 116.3, 116.2, 55.9, 55.3, 52.6, $52.4,51.1,50.9,50.4,43.6,43.5,39.5,37.4,32.0,30.1,25.9,11.4$. ESI MS: $m / z 1047.8(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(R)-5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-(3-(4-(4-(4-((4-(dimethylamino)-1-(phenylthio)butan-2-yl)amino)-3-nitrophenylsulfonamido)-phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-N,1,2-trimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3carboxamide (11). A mixture of $12(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol})$, methylamine in THF $(2 \mathrm{M}, 39 \mu \mathrm{M}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol})$, EDCI $(15.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.078 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{HOBt}(10.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{~mol})$, and DIEA $(22 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 8 h and then concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC to provide $11(30 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.1$, $9.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-$ $3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 170.2$, $148.3,147.9,147.5,137.8,136.2,134.8,134.4,133.9,133.2,132.9$, 132.24, 132.16, 131.6, 131.1, 130.3, 130.1, 129.6, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 126.9, 124.1, 121.9, 121.2, 119.3, 117.0, 116.7, 116.2, 55.9, 52.4, 52.3, 50.8, 43.5, 39.5, 31.9, 30.1, 26.6, 11.3. ESI MS: $m / z 921.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(R)-N-(4-(4-(3-(2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-3yl) phenyl)piperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-4-((4-(dimethylamino)-1-(phenylthio)butan-2-yl)amino)-3-nitrobenzenesulfonamide (13). TFA ( 0.5 mL ) was added to a solution of $12(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.050$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred for 15 min and
evaporated. The residue was purified by HPLC to afford compound 13 $(38 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2,1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,9.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H})$, 4.09-4.05 (m, 1H), 3.36-3.27 (m, 1H), 3.20-3.14 (m, 4H), $2.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 148.02$, 148.95, 147.2, 140.1, 136.2, 134.7, 134.4, 134.0, 133.7, 132.7, 132.2, 131.6, 131.2, 130.6, 130.1, 130.0, 128.0, 127.9, 127.5, 125.5, 124.1, 122.0, 119.0, 118.9, 116.2, 55.9, 53.2, 52.4, 50.2, 43.5, 39.5, 31.6, 30.1, 12.4. ESI MS: $m / z 865.3(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Fluorescence-Polarization-Based (FP) Binding Assays. The expression and purification of $\mathrm{Bcl}-2, \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$, and $\mathrm{Mcl}-1$ proteins and the FP binding assay methods are the same as previously described. ${ }^{17}$ Briefly, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values of our synthesized compounds to $\mathrm{Bcl}-2 / \mathrm{Bcl}-$ $\mathrm{xL} / \mathrm{Mcl}-1$ proteins were determined in competitive binding experiments. Mixtures of serial dilutions of tested compounds and preincubated protein/probe complex were incubated at room temperature for $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$ with gentle shaking. The final concentrations of proteins and fluorescent probes were 1.5 and 1 nM for the $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ assay, 10 and 2 nM for the $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ assay, and 20 and 2 nM for the Mcl1 assay, respectively. Final DMSO concentration is $4 \%$. Fluorescence polarization $(\mathrm{mP})$ values were measured and plotted over total compound concentrations. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined by nonlinear regression fitting of the binding curves. $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were calculated as described previously. ${ }^{22}$

Molecular Modeling. Crystal structures of Bcl-xL with $\mathbf{1}^{23}$ (PDB entry 2 YXJ ) and 7 were used to model the binding stances of our designed compounds with Bcl-xL with the GOLD program (version 4.0.1). ${ }^{24,25}$ In the docking simulation, the center of the binding sites for $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ was set at F97 and the radius of the binding site was defined as $12 \AA$, large enough to cover all the binding pockets. For each genetic algorithm (GA) run, a maximum of 200000 operations were performed on a population of 5 islands of 100 individuals. Operator weights for crossover, mutation, and migration were set to 95,95 , and 10, respectively. The docking simulation was terminated after 20 runs for 8 and designed compounds. ChemScore, implemented in Gold, was used as the fitness function to evaluate the docked conformations. The 20 conformations ranked highest by each fitness function were saved for analysis of the predicted docking modes. All the ligand modifications were performed using the Sybyl program. ${ }^{26}$

Cell-Free Mitochondrial Functional Assays. The MDA-MB231 (subclone 2LMP) cancer cell line was used in the functional assays. Cells were cultured in 150 mm cell culture dishes up to $80 \%$ confluent in a healthy state. After the cells were harvested, cell pellets were washed with cold PBS.

Cell pellets were reconstituted in the mitochondrial isolation buffer (MIB, 10 mM Tris, 0.1 mM EDTA, 250 mM sucrose with protease inhibitors and 1 mM PMSF added immediately prior to the assay, pH 7.4). Mixtures were incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min with occasional gentle shaking. Following homogenization of the cells with a glass cell homogenizer on ice, mitochondria were isolated by differential centrifugation steps followed by two washes in MIB and a third wash in mitochondrial reaction buffer (MRB, 20 mM HEPES, 100 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,100 \mathrm{mM}$ sucrose, 1 mM DTT, with protease inhibitors and 1 mM PMSF added immediately prior to the assay, pH 7.5).

Enriched mitochondria pellets were resuspended in the mitochondria resuspending buffer. Aliquots of the mitochondria suspensions (total protein at $100-150 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ for each aliquot) were incubated with $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ or $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL}$ or Mcl-1 protein, together with BIM peptide, and different concentrations of an inhibitor at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Mitochondria treated with DMSO were included as the negative control. Cytochrome $c$ and Smac released to the supernatant and remaining in the mitochondria pellets were analyzed by Western blotting using primary anti-cytochrome $c$ and anti-Smac antibodies from Cell Signaling (Danvers, MA) and Calbiochem (Darmstadt, Germany), respectively. The results were shown in Figure 4.

Assays of Cell Growth and Cell Death and Western Blotting. These assays were performed as previously described. ${ }^{17}$ Human smallcell lung cancer cell lines H146 and H1417 were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and were maintained in

RPMI-1640 medium containing 10\% FBS. Rabbit antibodies against PARP and caspase-3 are from Cell Signaling Technology. Rabbit antiGAPDH is from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

Assay To Assess Release of Cytochrome c from Mitochondria in Cells. To examine release of cytochrome $c$ from mitochondria into cytosol, H146 cancer cells were treated with different concentrations of compounds 14 and 15 for 2 h . Cells were collected. Release of cytochrome $c$ from mitochondria into cytosol was analyzed using the cytochrome $c$ assay kit (Millipore).

In Vivo Pharmacodynamic (PD) and Efficacy Studies in the H146 Xenograft Model. For in vivo PD and efficacy studies, the H146 small-cell lung cancer xenograft model was employed. To develop xenograft tumors, $5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{H} 146$ cancer cells with matrigel were injected subcutaneously on the dorsal side of the SCID mice (from Charles River), one tumor per mouse.

For PD studies, mice bearing H146 xenograft tumors were administered with a single dose of 14 or vehicle when tumor volume was approximately $100 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. Tumor tissues were harvested at indicated time points. Tumor tissues were analyzed using Western blotting to examine levels of PARP and caspase-3, as well as cleaved PARP and caspase- 3 in the tumor tissues.

For efficacy studies, when tumors reached tumor volumes between 40 and $110 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, mice were randomized into two groups, 8 mice per group, with a mean tumor volume of $70 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$. Mice were treated with 14 at $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, intravenously, daily, 5 days a week for 2 weeks, or vehicle control. Tumor sizes and animal weights were measured 3 times a week during the treatment and twice a week after the treatment. Data are presented as mean tumor volumes $\pm$ SEM. Statistical analyses were performed by two-way ANOVA and unpaired two-tailed $t$ test, using Prism (version 4.0, GraphPad, La Jolla, CA). P $<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. The efficacy experiment was performed under the guidelines of the University of Michigan Committee for Use and Care of Animals.

Bcl-xL Crystallographic Studies. Expression and purification of Bcl-xL protein used the same method as described earlier. ${ }^{17}$ Prior to crystallization, Bcl-xL was incubated with a 5 -fold molar excess of compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ in the presence of $4 \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then concentrated to $7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. Crystals of $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL} / \mathbf{1 2}$ were grown by vapor diffusion in a sitting drop tray against a well solution of 4\% PEG 3000, 0.6 M zinc acetate, and 100 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.5 . In each experiment, the crystallization drops contained equal volumes of protein and well solution. Prior to data collection, crystals were cryoprotected in well solution with increasing amounts of glycerol to a final concentration of $20 \%$, then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

X-ray data were collected at LS-CAT ID-21-F and -G lines at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Lab. Data were processed with HKL2000. ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL} / \mathbf{1 2}$ crystallized in the $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ space group and diffracted to $1.4 \AA$ resolution. The structure contained one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The structure of the complex was solved by molecular replacement with Phaser, ${ }^{28}$ using a structure of Bcl-xL previously solved in our laboratory as a starting model. Iterative rounds of refinement and model building were completed using Buster ${ }^{29}$ and Coot, ${ }^{30}$ respectively. The initial $F_{o}-F_{c}$ electron density map revealed the presence of the compounds in the binding site of Bcl-xL. Every atom of $\mathbf{1 2}$ was visible in the $F_{o}-F_{c}$ electron density map contoured at $3 \sigma$. The PRODRG server ${ }^{31}$ was used to create the starting coordinates and restraint files for the compounds. The current $R_{\text {free }} / R_{\text {work }}$ for the $\mathrm{Bcl}-\mathrm{xL} / \mathbf{1 2}$ structure is $0.1847 / .0 .1641$. All amino acids fall into the allowed regions of the Ramanchandran plot with $98 \%$ in the preferred regions. Data collection and refinement statistics are given in Table S1 in Supporting Information.
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